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## Outline

Data: the 2011 LOSEF, an Internet version
O Have "bad starts" been increasing?
Changes in career history by cohort
Differences in current living conditions by different career starts

OWhat factors dominate a bad start?
What factors can influence the shift to a typical working career?

How likely is a bad start to cause a bad finish?
Cumulative Hazard Estimates: TY $\rightarrow$ AY

Data: The 2011 Longitudinal Survey on Employment and Fertility (LOSEI'), an Internet Version

The data set is composed of 3 elements undertaken simultaneously: 1) a panel data set from transcription of administrative data (history of pension enrolment, salary history, etc.) contained in Social Security Statements; 2) a retrospective panel survey based on the items contained therein (such as career changes, marriage, childbirth, whether or not residing with parents, etc.); and 3) a survey on many other questions relating to current living and working circumstances, family background educational attainment, and well-being of the respondents including some future prospects. It represents the collection at a single stroke of almost perfect panel data spanning 45 years at maximum. Acquisition of this sort of long-term, almost flawless panel data is unprecedented in Japan.

## Social Security Statement in Japan

The Social Security Statement is an administrative (governmental) document which contains information on past enrolment in social security pension schemes, records of national pension contribution payments, KNH (Kosei-Nenkin-Hoken, employees' pension) employment records, the amounts of standard monthly compensation (pensionable remuneration), the amount of expected pension benefits, etc., and is issued annually to all residents of Japan. In 2009 all members and pension recipients of the KNH or the national pension scheme received the detailed version, containing long-term pension records starting from age 15 (or age 20 for those enrolled in the national pension scheme) to the present day.

Accordingly, by utilizing transcriptions of the records contained in this administrative document, long-term and almost flawless panel data were obtained.

## Social Security Statement in Japan (continued)

The 2009 Social Security Statement was originally sent out to confirm all the contents of individuals' detailed records; however, even after this confirmation was complete, many people kept it. This was because the Social Security Statement was not only a simple summary of past employment history, enabling people to look back over their own life history so far, but also it indicated their estimated amount of old-age pension benefits: essential in planning for life after retirement.

## The 2011 Internet Survey: Survey Respondents

Respondents were selected among persons holding 2009 Social Security Statements who registered as monitors at an Internet survey company, in the following age ranges:

Persons born from 1st November 1971 to 31st October 1981 ("those in their 30s" below)

Persons born from 1st November 1961 to 31st October 1971 ("those in their 40s" below)

Persons born from 1st April 1951 to 31st March 1960 ("those in their 50 s " below)

1000 male and 1000 female respondents were selected at each age range, making a total sample of around 6000 respondents.

## Response Count and Validity of Responses

| Current Age (Sex) | Response <br> Count | No. of Valid <br> Responses | Valid <br> Response Rate |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 6,177 | 5,953 | $96.4 \%$ |
| 30 s (Male) | 1,030 | 999 | $97.0 \%$ |
| 30 s (Female) | 1,027 | 975 | $94.9 \%$ |
| 40 s (Male) | 1,030 | 995 | $96.6 \%$ |
| 40 s (Female) | 1,030 | 924 | $89.7 \%$ |
| 50 s A (Male) | 301 | 301 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 50 s A (Female) | 251 | 251 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 50 s B (Male) | 833 | 833 | $100.0 \%$ |
| $50 \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{(Female)}$ | 675 | 675 | $100.0 \%$ |

## The 2011 Internet Survey: Survey Items (1)

Items transcribed from Social Security Statements (past administrative records)
Date of last update for enrolment records
Covered months of pension membership up to present (for each program)
The estimated amount of old-age pension benefits (only for those in their 50s)
Amounts of contributions paid up to present
Standard monthly compensations in April of each year for KNH
Contribution exemptions in April of each year for national pension
The names of employed companies (employment history, job change history, \& job leaving history), type of industries and size of companies

## The 2011 Internet Survey: Survey Items (2)

Employment history related questions, answered by each respondent based on transcript information (retrospective panel data section)
History of employment status, terms of employment contract, reasons for job change or job leaving
Changes in marital status, number of children, whether residing with parents or not
Changes in the area of residence
Changes in employment status of his/her spouse

## The 2011 Internet Survey: Survey Items (3)

Survey items regarding living conditions and well-being at the time of survey
Respondent's sex, date of birth, current marital status, current number of children, final level of educational attainment, current employment status, yearly personal income

Number of members in his/her household, relationship with family in his/her household, etc.
Spouse's info on current age, final level of educational attainment, employment status, yearly personal income
Items relating to subjective wellbeing, future plans to work, upbringing, parents, and old age

See the website below for more details: http://takayama-online.net/pie/stage3/Japanese/d_p/dp2011/dp546/text.pdf

Sample Sives by Sex and Age Range as a Panel Data Set

| Age | Total | Male | Female | Age | Total | Male | Female | Age | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | 182,137 | 97,302 | 84,835 | 31 | 5,887 | 3,093 | 2,794 | 47 | 2,594 | 1,439 | 1,155 |
| 16 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 32 | 5,757 | 3,037 | 2,720 | 48 | 2,424 | 1,343 | 1,081 |
| 17 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 33 | 5,608 | 2,978 | 2,630 | 49 | 2,264 | 1,256 | 1,008 |
| 18 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 34 | 5,459 | 2,914 | 2,545 | 50 | 2,121 | 1,174 | 947 |
| 19 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 35 | 5,278 | 2,822 | 2,456 | 51 | 2,060 | 1,134 | 926 |
| 20 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 36 | 4,987 | 2,670 | 2,317 | 52 | 2,060 | 1,134 | 926 |
| 21 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 37 | 4,727 | 2,529 | 2,198 | 53 | 1,820 | 1,018 | 802 |
| 22 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 38 | 4,495 | 2,414 | 2,081 | 54 | 1,553 | 877 | 676 |
| 23 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 39 | 4,283 | 2,303 | 1,980 | 55 | 1,335 | 753 | 582 |
| 24 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 40 | 4,060 | 2,177 | 1,883 | 56 | 1,141 | 648 | 493 |
| 25 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 41 | 3,863 | 2,077 | 1,786 | 57 | 944 | 556 | 388 |
| 26 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 42 | 3,655 | 1,975 | 1,680 | 58 | 767 | 460 | 307 |
| 27 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 43 | 3,444 | 1,867 | 1,577 | 59 | 574 | 355 | 219 |
| 28 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 44 | 3,256 | 1,780 | 1,476 | 60 | 351 | 232 | 119 |
| 29 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 45 | 3,064 | 1,686 | 1,378 | 61 | 180 | 120 | 60 |
| 30 | 5,953 | 3,128 | 2,825 | 46 | 2,831 | 1,561 | 1,270 |  |  |  |  |

## Definition of a Bad Start

## Five employment categories

1. Typical salaried workers (TY)
2. Atypical salaried workers (AT)
3. Self-employed \& professional (SE/Professional)
4. Full-time housewives (FTHW)
5. Students

The bad start: A group of persons with any AT experiences under age 25

## Proportions of BS and GS by Sex \& by Cohort



## Employment Fistory (Survival Rate of Typical Employees for GS Males)
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## Employment History (GS Females, Age 45-49)
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## Employment History (BS Females, Age 45-49)
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## Employment History (GS Females, Age 30-34)
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## Bad Start (BS)
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Proportion of Those Expecting An Improved Living Standard In The Next 10 Years (Males)



Average Household Annual Income (Males; 10,000 yen)


Average Household Annual Income (Females; 10,000 yen)


## What Factors Dominate a Bad Start?

The cohort effect and family background remain decisive after we controlled for factors of personal abilities and sociability.
$\rightarrow$ requiring a different set of policy measures for reducing the number of BS persons

The mother effect operated in the opposite direction between males and females.

## Estimation Results (Males)

Independent Variables

|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Constant | $-1.84(-12.09)$ | $-1.83(-8.20)$ | $-1.03(-4.28)$ |
| Dummies of cohort | $0.315(1.60)$ | $0.332(1.66)$ | $0.381(1.81)$ |
| April 1966- March 1971 | $0.726(3.95)$ | $0.768(4.12)$ | $0.827(4.29)$ |
| April 1971- March 1976 | $1.36(7.33)$ | $1.38(7.37)$ | $1.57(8.04)$ |
| April 1976- March 1981 | $-0.440(-1.84)$ | $-0.347(-1.38)$ |  |
| Mother dummy | $-0.315(-1.90)$ | $-0.123(-0.71)$ |  |
| Dummy of parental affection |  | $0.436(2.89)$ | $-0.340(2.15)$ |
| Dummy of parents' relationship |  | $0.411(2.86)$ | $0.402(2.65)$ |
| Dummies of no family-mentors |  | $0.674(3.59)$ | $0.544(2.64)$ |
| $\quad$ No mentors |  | $-0.679(-3.44)$ |  |
| No other family-members |  |  | $-1.381(-3.65)$ |
| Dummy of educational qualif. |  | $-1.544(-10.5)$ |  |
| $\quad$ Vocational school |  | $-2.248(-7.53)$ |  |
| College |  | $-0.698(2.38)$ |  |
| Undergraduate |  | -1639.40 |  |

Note: a sample of 1,994 individuals. Figures in () are t-values.

## Estimation Results (Females)

$$
\log \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{BS}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{GS}}\right)
$$

Independent Variables

|  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constant | -0.740 (-6.24) | -0.530 (-2.70) | -0.161 (-0.76) |
| Dummies of cohort |  |  |  |
| April 1966- March 1971 | -0.286 (1.82) | -0.299 (-1.88) | -0.259 (-1.59) |
| April 1971-March 1976 | 0.196 (1.30) | 0.207 (1.35) | 0.345 (2.20) |
| April 1976- March 1981 | 0.438 (2.91) | 0.474 (3.09) | 0.716 (4.43) |
| Mother dummy |  | 0.450 (2.54) | 0.537 (2.90) |
| Dummy of parental affection |  | -0.400 (-2.53) | -0.281 (-1.69) |
| Dummy of parents' relationship |  | 0.195 (1.46) | 0.156 (1.15) |
| Dummy of no family-mentors |  |  |  |
| No mentors |  | 0.062 (0.40) | 0.0160 (0.102) |
| No other family-members |  | 0.611 (3.12) | 0.637 (3.17) |
| Dummies of educational qualif. |  |  |  |
| Vocational school |  |  | -0.303 (-1.77) |
| College |  |  | -0.733 (-5.19) |
| Undergraduate |  |  | -1.136 (-8.21) |
| Graduate |  |  | -1.313 (-2.72) |
| Dummy of no friends |  |  | 0.348 (0.883) |
| Log L | -1587.72 | -1567.91 | -1511.91 |

Note: a sample of 1,899 individuals. Figures in () are t-values.

What Fiactors can Influence the Shift to a Typical Working Carcer?

O With or without the restrictions of term of working years in the first job
O 2 or more consecutive years working experience at a single company/institution
O Years of working experience
O Job training experience at public institutions $\rightarrow$ negative!

## Estimation Results (Males)

## Independent variables

Constant
Dummies of cohort (birth year and month)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1966.4-1971.3 } \\
& \text { 1971.4-1976.3 } \\
& 1976.4-1981.10
\end{aligned}
$$

Dummy of intimate friends
Dummy of non-manufacturing industry
Dummies of the first job

## White collar

Blue collar
Dummies of term-conditions
No restriction 2.933
1 to 12 months
Dummy of job training $\quad-1.878$
Dummy of $2+$ consecutive years working experience
Years of working experience
Dummy of mother's working status
Likelihood Ratio

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {BS-A }}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Coefficient |  |
| -t t-value $)$ |  |
| -3.877 | $(-2.64)$ |
|  |  |
| 0.761 | $(0.94)$ |
| 0.00154 | $(0.0021)$ |
| -0.0413 | $(-0.06)$ |
| 0.848 | $(1.83)$ |
| 0.937 | $(1.54)$ |
|  |  |
| 1.150 | $(1.89)$ |
| -0.409 | $(-0.68)$ |
|  |  |
| 2.933 | $(3.88)$ |
| 0.556 | $(0.69)$ |
| -1.878 | $(-3.60)$ |
| 1.318 | $(2.16)$ |
| 0.214 | $(2.88)$ |
| -0.381 | $(-1.00)$ |
| 218.6 |  |
|  |  |

0.556
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {BS-A }}$
Coefficient (t-value)
-3.877(0.94)

## Estimation Results (Females)

Independent variables

## Constant

Dummies of cohort (birth year and month)
1966.4-1971.3
1971.4-1976.3
1976.4-1981.10

Dummy of non-manufacturing industry
Dummies of the first job
White collar
Blue collar
Dummies of term-conditions
No restriction
1 to 12 months
Dummy of job training
Dummy of $2+$ consec. yrs working exp.
Years of working experience
Likelihood Ratio

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{P}_{\text {BS-A }} \\
\text { Coefficient } & (\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{value}) \\
-1.661 \quad(-2.56)
\end{array}
$$

$-0.0200 \quad(-0.06)$
-0.4898
-0.7908
-0.6708
-0.1662
-1.025
-0.2614
-0.9940
-0.4136

## How Likely is a Bad Start to Cause a Bad Finish?

Bad Finish: KNH coverage with less than 25 yrs
Simulation method: a simple and primitive way
Results: around 90\% (females) \& 50\% (males) for the current young cohorts
$\rightarrow$ The BS/BF issue is as serious in Japan as in European countries

## Probabilities of BI for BS Males



Note: $\mathrm{BF}=\mathrm{KNH}$ Coverage less than 25 years at age 60

## Probabilities of BF for BS Females



Note: BF $=\mathrm{KNH}$ Coverage less than 25 years at age 60

Nelson-Aalen Cumulative Hazard Estimates:

## $\mathrm{TY} \rightarrow \mathrm{AT}$
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