

Principles and Rules for Translating Retirement Objectives into Strategies

Colloquium on Pensions and Retirement Research 1-2 December, 2021

Presenter: Geoff Warren Co-authors: Adam Butt and Gaurav Khemka The Australian National University



What does academic modelling imply about 'optimal' retirement strategies?

- Quite a bit of modelling has been done in an Australian context by both ourselves and other academics (as well as overseas)
- We have imputed from this research:
 - Member attributes that matter to the 'optimal' strategy
 - Principles and rules for linking strategies to objectives
- Heterogeneity is an important theme



Some academic studies influencing our formulations

Australian based

Andréasson Shevchenko (Risks, 2017; wp, 2019) Andréasson, Shevchenko and Novikov (IME, 2017) Bell, Liu and Shao (wp, 2017). Butt, Khemka and Warren (EcRec, 2019; wps, 2021) De Ravin, Liu, van Rooyen, Scully and Wu (wp, 2019) Hulley, Mckibbin, Pedersen and Thorp (EcRec, 2013) Iskhakov, Thorp and Bateman (EeRe,c 2015) Kingston and Thorp (JPEF, 2005) Warren (FAJ, 2019; AJM 2021)

International

Bengen (JFP, 1994) Blake, Wright and Zhang (JEDC, 2013) Klement (CFA RF, 21018 Lockwood (AER, 2018) Waring and Siegel (FAJ, 2015)



Attributes that matter to the 'optimal' strategy

Objectives and preferences:

- 1. Any minimum acceptable income
- 2. Type of income stream
 - a) Income target, e.g. replacement rate, ASFA standard; may vary over time
 - b) Maximise income extracted from available assets, while managing risk
- 3. Bequest motive
- 4. Risk tolerance

Other key attributes:

- 5. Individual or couple
- 6. Homeowner or renter
- 7. Other available assets
- 8. Precautionary savings

What else might matter:

- Health / longevity
- Other income sources
- Family situation



Strategies for a minimum acceptable income

Principle:

• Secure any minimum acceptable income, if possible.

Rule:

• Purchase sufficient annuities to secure the minimum, accounting for other available income.

Issues:

- No need if Age Pension is enough, or balance is large
- Renters vs. homeowners
- If can't secure the minimum ... go for growth, or batten down?



Strategies for an income target

Principle:

- Invest with the aim of delivering the income target for as long as possible, by combining annuities, growth and defensive assets.
- Draw sufficient amount to deliver the income target, until available assets are exhausted.

Rules:

- Secure the target by allocating to annuities, up to some maximum
- If unable to secure target, scale back annuities to lock-in minimum
- Invest remainder in growth assets, as much as can be tolerated

Issues:

- Deferred annuities vs. immediate annuities
- Other strategies to help secure income, e.g. defensive assets, products



Strategies for income maximisation

Principle:

• Drawdown and investment strategy jointly directed at converting assets into income, leaving behind no more assets than intended

Rules:

- Determine how much income to protect using annuities
- Invest remainder in growth assets, as far as can be tolerated
- Establish affordable drawdown strategy, and review occasionally

Issues:

- Affordable drawdown might be viewed as a 'conditional investmentlinked virtual annuity'; likely exceeds minimum drawdown rules
- Products emerging that embed this type of strategy with longevity insurance element (*QSuper, Optimum Pensions, now Challenger*)



Under a strong bequest motive

Principle:

• Restrict drawdowns to tolerable levels, and direct the investment strategy towards building assets.

Rules:

- Use annuities only to secure any minimum acceptable income
- Drawdown only what is needed
- Invest in growth assets, as far as can be tolerated

Issue:

• Strategies aimed at building bequests should be a choice product



Under high risk tolerance

Principles:

- Reduce annuity use in favour of additional growth assets, and use the opportunity to increase their expected income (or bequest).
- Set growth asset exposure at the maximum that can be tolerated.

Issue:

• Strategies built for high risk tolerance should be choice product



Basic example – four strategies

Two income objectives and related drawdown strategies:

- A. Target income, based on ASFA Comfortable
 - \Rightarrow draw to meet target, until account-based pension (ABP) exhausted
- B. Maximise income extracted from available assets
 - ⇒ draw 'affordable' drawdown, re-estimate each year conditional on balance, expected return and life expectancy given age

Two investment strategies:

- 1. 100% ABP with 70/30 growth/defensive mix
- 70% ABP invested 100% in growth;
 30% real immediate life annuity (ILA)

(Note: Modelling allows for Age Pension and minimum drawdown rules)











A related aside – Utility vs. metrics

- Utility and metrics might be used in tandem
- Utility analysis
 - Summarise entire distribution in one number (e.g. certainty equiv)
 - Use to compare strategies, or find optimal strategy
 - Apply different utility functions to capture differing objectives
- Metrics
 - Characterise distribution of outcomes
 - Communicate outcomes
 - Reality check that strategy is delivering intended outcomes



Key takeaways

- **1.** *Two main types of income objectives* strategies differ markedly
- 2. *Minimum acceptable income* worth thinking about if there is some minimum income level to be protected, if at all possible
- 3. Member heterogeneity it matters, and should be addressed directly



Questions

and

Discussion