Defaults, Disclosures, Advice and Calculators: One size does *not* fit all

Hazel Bateman, Isabella Dobrescu, Ben Newell and **Susan Thorp**





Complexity in financial decisions can be natural or artificial.

- Some decisions are naturally hard:
 - Savings decisions involving exponential growth
 - Investments with correlated, risky payoffs
 - Insurance for rare events
- Some decisions are made harder:
 - Complex pricing fosters "ignorance" and oligopoly power (Scitovsky 1950; Carlin 2008)
 - Direct fees + involuntary surcharges
 - New technical terms
 - Omitting important information





Can inexpensive, scalable interventions defeat artificial complexity?

- How do consumers develop skill for choosing?
- Is skill helped by:
 - a) disclosures;
 - b) defaults;
 - c) simple robots;
 - d) smart robots?



Experiment task: choose the lowest fee investment fund.

- Two on-line, incentive-aligned experiments
- Each with over 1000 participants
- Savers choose between one of four investment funds
- Account balances change at each of 40 rounds
- Goal is to invest in the least-cost fund
- Funds have two-part fee structure

Experiment 1 tests three types of "help".

Experiment 1

- **BASELINE**: no additional information
- **DISCLOSURE**: "For balances of \$50,000 Fund 1 is the least cost fund"
- **DEFAULT**: "The preselected fund is the one that is least expensive for most investors"
- **ADVICE**: "You can seek advice about the least cost fund. The advice costs 1 point"

Example Screenshot



- 40 trials at different balances
- Correct answers pay 4 points
- Incorrect answers pay 0 points
- Advice costs 1 point; Nudges are free

Least-cost fund switches from Fund 1 to Fund 4 above \$50K; Funds 2 and 3 are never optimal.



Disclosure treatment

	For balances of \$50,000 Fund 1 is the least cost fund				
Current Balance: \$100,000	Fund 1	Fund 2	Fund 3	Fund 4	
Administration Fee (\$ per annum)	\$30	- \$160	\$290	\$400	
Management Expense Ratio (% of balance per annum)	1.00%	0.75%	0.50%	0.25%	
	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose	

Default treatment

The preselected fund is the one that is least expensive for most investors.

If you want to invest in this fund click CONFIRM. If you do not, then make another selection.

Current Balance: \$100,000
Administration Fee (\$ per annum)
Management Expense Ratio (% of balance per annum)

Fund 1	Fund 2	Fund 3	Fund 4
\$30	\$160	\$290	\$400
1.00%	0.75%	0.50%	0.25%
Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose

CONFIRM

MAKE ANOTHER SELECTION

Advice treatment

You can	ou can seek advice about the least cost fund. The advice costs 1 point						
Current Balance: \$100,000	Fund	Fund	Fund	Fund			
Administration Fee (\$ per annum)	\$30	\$160	\$290	4 \$400			
Management Expense Ratio (% of balance per annum)	1.00%	0.75%	0.50%	0.25%			
	Choose	Choose	Choose	Choose			
	CLICK HERE TO OBTAIN ADVICE ON INVESTING						
The Ad	dvisor says: "At y	our current bal	ance. Fund 4 is the	least cost fund"			
If you want to invest in this fund click CONFIRM. If you do not, then make another selection.							
		CONFIRM	N				
	SELECTION						

"Robo-advice" makes a significant difference.

Violin plots show the (smoothed) probability density of the data at different values.



Proportion of correct answers at each trial consistently higher for advice.



We find a large variation in number of times participants took advice.



Summary

- Most participants do better than chance (10/40)
- No improvement in accuracy for Disclosure or Default relative to Baseline.
- Evidence of **bimodality**:
 - some participants learn how to calculate the least cost fund,
 - <u>others appear to (blindly) follow default and disclosure information.</u>
- Advice leads to immediate and sustained improvements in accuracy
- Advice leads to higher average earnings (114 points cf 98 points)
- **Default** conditions shows (probably) the steepest learning curve
 - some learning (or unlearning) that the default is not always correct.
- Some participants don't rely on advice at all; others use it for every choice

Next questions...



- Can we **boost** the **nudges**?
- What would make the hour glass figures into cocktail glasses?
- Where does "Robo-advice" fit?
- What happens when help is removed?



Experiment 2: help to learn the calculation steps; then "cold turkey".

Experiment 2

- Phase 1:
 - All experiment 1 conditions (BASELINE; DISCLOSURE; DEFAULT; ADVICE) crossed factorially with presentation of Simple or Smart Calculator.
 - Smart calculator requires only data entry; costs 0.5 points
 - Simple calculator requires data entry and correct algorithm; costs 0 points
 - 20 rounds
- Phase 2:
 - All conditions given no help
 - 20 rounds

In addition to the information about the 4 funds, you will be able to use an on-screen calculator that may help you to work out which fund is the least expensive for your current balance.

If you would like to use the calculator then you will need to access it by clicking the button on the screen. This will enable the calculator for that decision. You can enter information about as many of the funds as you like in order to compare fees for your current balance.

An example of how to enable the calculator and what the calculator looks like is shown below.





Smart Calculator Instruction for ALL conditions

In addition to the information about the 4 funds, you will be able to use an on-screen calculator that may help you to work out which fund is the least expensive for your current balance.

If you would like to use the calculator then you will need to pay a small charge of 0.5 points per use. This fee will enable the calculator for that decision. You can enter information about as many of the funds as you like in order to compare fees for your current balance.

An example of how to enable the calculator and what the calculator looks like is shown below.



Phase 1: Providing simple or smart calculators to participants improved accuracy for all conditions; no interaction between calculator and condition.



Phase 2: Transfer phase results suggest a regression effect for Advice Treatment plus slight increase in accuracy for the other treatments.



It's important to boost consumers' competence, not just nudge them towards a not-always-better option.

- Providing simple or smart calculators to participants improved average accuracy.
- No interaction between calculator and condition.
- Transfer of learning?
 - regression effect for Advice condition
 - Small increase in accuracy for others. It appears that over-reliance on advice when it
 is available led some participants to be unable to make choices on their own when
 advice was removed.
- Providers need to think carefully about the kinds of information they offer consumers
- Consumers need to understand limitations of defaults and disclosures

Discussion

- Does it matter whether consumers develop expertise or not?
- In this experiment, participants received feedback about their correctness and incentives to be correct, but many did not use the calculators. Why?
- Is this another example where the problem is not understanding the concept instead of not doing the calculation? Or lack of effort?
- Can fintech (smart calculators; robo-advice) democratise access to expertise and improve decisions?
- Is there a continuum from disclosure to automated advice to "warm body" advice?