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## Question 1

Assume that you deposit $\$ 400$ every month into a retirement savings account that earns a 10\% yearly rate of interest. (You never withdraw any money.)

How much money do you think you will have in your account (including interest earned):

After 10 years?
After 20 years?
After 30 years?
After 40 years?

## Question 2

You owe $\$ 10,000$ on your credit card and the interest rate is $12 \%$ annually.

You have destroyed the card and will not use it any more.

Suppose that you plan to pay a fixed amount of $\$ 110$ per month until the card is completely paid off.

What is your best estimate of how many months it will take to totally pay off the card?

## Question 3

## American Consumers Bank

## Payment Due Date

7/15/2010


Late Payment Warning: If we do not receive your Minimum Amount Due by the Payment Due Date
listed above, you will have to pay a late fee of up to $\$ 39.00$.
Minimum Payment Warning: If you make only the minimum payment each period, you will pay
more in interest and it will take you longer to pay off your balance. For example:

| If you make no additional charges and each month you pay... | You will pay off the balance shown on this statement in about... | And you will pay an estimated total of... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (G) Only the Minimum Amount Due | (H) 22 years | (I) $\$ 20,294.97$ |
| (J) $\$ 352.16$ | (K) <br> 3 years | (I) $\$ 12,677.67$ |


| (11) Annual |
| :---: |
| Percentage |
| Rate |
| $12.0 \%$ |

How long would it take to pay off the card if one were to pay $\$ 212$ each month, assuming no further charges on the card?

## Answer 1

A: When $\$ 400$ Is Deposited Each Month at 10\%
Annual Compound Interest


McKenzie \& Liersch, 2011

## Answer 2

Figure 2. Participants' Estimates of Time to Pay Off a $\$ 10,000$ Credit Card Balance with an Annual Interest Rate of $12 \%$, as a Function of the Monthly Payment


Soll et al., 2013

## Answer 3

## Ameriean Consumers Bank

$$
7 / 15 / 2010
$$



| If you make no additional charges and each month you pay... | You will pay off the balance shown on this statement in about... | And you will pay an estimated total of... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (G) Only the Minimum Amount Due | (H) 22 years | (I) $\$ 20,294.97$ |
| (J) $\$ 352.16$ | (K) <br> 3 years | (I) $\$ 12,677.67$ |


| (11) Annual |
| :---: |
| Percentage |
| Rate |
| $12.0 \%$ |

How long would it take to pay off the card if one were to pay $\$ 212$ each month, assuming no further charges on the card?

Soll et al., 2013

## "Exponential growth bias"



$\$ 400 \times 12$ (months per year) $\times 40$ (years) $x 1.1=$ \$211,200
$\$ 400 \times 12$ (months per

$$
\text { year) } \times 10 \text { (years) } \times 1.1
$$

$$
=\$ 52,800
$$

Figure 2. Participants' Estimates of Time to Pay Off a $\$ 10,000$ Credit Card Balance with an Annual Interest Rate of $\mathbf{1 2 \%}$, as a
Function of the Monthly Payment

"Principal-plus-adjustment heuristic"


Fig. 1. Four types of simple exponential change.


Fig. 3. Mean of section medians as a function of condition and change occurring in the section for experiment 1.

## Solutions?

Tell people the answer (nudge)

Let people experience the impact of decisions (boost)
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## Retirement Income Experiment (RIE): FIELD

The 2013 RIE presented members with both a projected account balance and income stream at retirement ( $1 \& 2$ ). Further, the RIE gave members three calls to action: (i) contacting Cbus (3); (ii) increasing retirement contributions (4); and choosing different investment options (4).


## RIE Results - Contributions

The RIE had significant effects upon the retirement saving decisions of Cbus members. These effects were generally more pronounced for older members and in relation to salary sacrificing.

1 Higher salary sacrifice in (\$AUD)
Salary Sacrifice (\$AUD)


3 More members salary sacrificing
Cbus Member Salary Sacrificing (\%)


2 Higher NC saving in (\$AUD)
Non-Concessional Saving (\$AUD)


4 Fewer members with NC saving
Cbus Member Non-Concessional Saving (\%)


## Online experiment design

| Age <br> Group | Treatment <br> Group |
| :---: | :---: |

(All hypothetical choices)


## Current Balance + Balance + Income Estimate

## Participants choose \% of "left over" income to save.



## Account and income information set at population averages.

| First choice set | $45-54$ years |
| :--- | ---: |
| Starting age | 48 yrs |
| Annual gross income | $\$ 77,000$ |
| Annual net income | $\$ 60,400$ |
| Annual living expenses | $\$ 49,500$ |
| Income left over | $\$ 10,900$ |
| Current plan balance | $\$ 65,600$ |
| Estimated retirement balance | $\$ 286,400$ |
| Estimated 25 yr payment | $\$ 16,400$ |

## Choice set information updates after each saving decision.



## Average percentage of discretionary income saved by treatment



## Combined projections: reference dependence and positive feedback.

- Lump sum feedback is large relative to income
- Lump sum + income feedback = carrot + stick?
- Projections affect younger respondents more than older
- Younger get the benefit of longer compounding periods

```
Growth in projections: 35 years; saves 100% of "left-over" income
```

|  | Choice 1 | Choice 5 | Choice 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Income projection | $\$ 22,200$ | $\$ 28,600$ | $\$ 30,900$ |
| Lump sum projection | $\$ 386,200$ | $\$ 497,700$ | $\$ 538,500$ |

## Version 1

Would like to save

## Inertia \& Friction Costs?

 any of your left over income this year?
## YES <br> NO

What percentage of left over income will you save this year?

- 25\%
- $50 \%$
- 75\%
- 100\%
- Custom amount


## Version 2

What percentage of left over income will you save this year?

- 0\%
- $25 \%$
- $50 \%$
- 75\%
- 100\%
- Custom amount


## Inertia \& Friction Costs?

We found the sequential, two-stage choice architecture (survey version 1) results in significantly lower saving, due largely to more respondents answering "No" ( $0 \%$ saving) to the first question.


## General Discussion Points

- The need to shift away from enumerating biases to providing solutions
- Focus on changing the choice architecture or improving competence/education?
- Is it competence or engagement?
- Should we target arithmetic problems or conceptual problems? (Does it matter if you know the answer even if you don't know why?)
- Can simulators/calculators/forecasters solve misunderstanding (and engagement)? Does it matter if they can't (as long as people do the "right thing")


## Retirement Specific Discussion Points

- How much do people think they need for retirement? How much do they think they can spend in retirement?
- Why do people not save enough, but then spend too slowly in retirement? Discount rate changes? "Exponential Decline Bias"?
- Why does EPG bias not lead to lower savings intentions? (People tend to underestimate how much they will have which could lead them to save less...).

